

CONSTRUCTAL DESIGN OF AN ONSHORE OVERTOPPING DEVICE IN REAL SCALE FOR DIFFERENT RAMP CONSTRUCTION AREAS

Jaifer Corrêa Martins

Marcelo Moraes Goulart

Jeferson Avila Souza

Liércio André Isoldi

Elizaldo Domingues dos Santos

Escola de Engenharia - Universidade Federal do Rio Grande

Avenida Itália, km 8, CP. 474, Rio Grande, RS, Brasil

jaifercm@gmail.com

moraesgoulart@yahoo.com.br

jasouza@furg.br

liercioisoldi@furg.br

elizaldosantos@furg.br

Mateus das Neves Gomes

Instituto Federal do Paraná, Campus Paranaguá

CEP 83215-750, Paranaguá, PR, Brasil

mateus.gomes@ifpr.edu.br

Luiz Alberto Oliveira Rocha

Departamento de Engenharia Mecânica - Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

CEP 90050-170, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil

luizrocha@mecanica.ufrgs.br

Abstract. The study about technologies and operational principles of devices to convert wave energy into electrical one (WEC) has increased significantly. In the present work it is performed a numerical study concerned with the effect of ramp geometry over the performance of an onshore overtopping device in real scale by means of Constructal Design. Its main operational principle consists on a ramp which guides the incoming waves into a reservoir raised slightly above the sea level. The accumulated water in the reservoir flows through a low head turbine generating electricity. The purpose here is to evaluate the ratio between height and length of ramp (H_1/L_1) which maximizes the amount of water that enters into device reservoir for one specific distance from the bottom of the tank to the bottom of device of $S = 6.0$ m and for three different ratios between the areas of the ramp and tank: $\phi = 0.006, 0.012$ and 0.024 . The conservation equations of mass, momentum and one equation for the transport of volumetric fraction are solved with the finite volume method (FVM). To tackle with water-air mixture, the multiphase model Volume of Fluid (VOF) is used. Results showed that the maximal mass flow rates of water entering into reservoir were achieved for the lowest ratios of ϕ and H_1/L_1 for $S = 6.0$ m. The range of geometric applicability also changes significantly with variation of ϕ .

Keywords: Constructal Design, Overtopping Device, Geometric Optimization, Numerical Study

1. INTRODUCTION

The main physical operational principle of overtopping device has not been widely studied as other kind of devices, one example of this fact can be seen in the amount of discussion for each operational principle in review literature (Falcão, 2010; Zabihian and Fung, 2011). Among the works concerned with overtopping devices, efforts have been devoted in the development of experimental studies for evaluation of building parameters of device (Kofoed *et al.*, 2006; Margheritini *et al.*, 2009). More recently, some studies have been performed into numerical framework with the purpose to improve the comprehension about the fluid flow phenomenology and to investigate the geometrical parameters of device (Beels *et al.*, 2010; Rocha *et al.*, 2013; Nam *et al.*, 2008; Margheritini *et al.*, 2012; Jin *et al.*, 2012). The main operational principle of an overtopping device consists on the water accumulation into a reservoir raised slightly above the sea level. The wave water overtops the inclined ramp and enters into reservoir. The accumulated water returns to the sea passing through low head hydraulic turbines generating electricity. Figure 1 depicts a schematic sketch with the main operational principle of this device.




Figure 1. Illustration of physical main operational principle of overtopping device.

Concerning the experimental studies about the overtopping device, Kofoed *et al.* (2006) performed extensive tests of a prototype with reduced scale of 1:50 inside a wave tank. Afterwards, the authors build a new prototype in reduced scale with 1:4.5 of a Wave Dragon. This device was evaluated during three years being monitored parameters as power, wave climate, forces in mooring lines and structure tension. The purpose was to determine the design for the structure, as well as, development of a plan to implement an unit for generation of 4 MW. Other important study is presented in Margheritini *et al.* (2009) which analyzed a device named Sea Slot-cone Generator (SSG) which consists on a device with multiple ramps. The pilot plant is an onshore module in real scale with three different ramps capturing waves of different heights.

Into the numerical framework, Liu *et al.* (2008) evaluated an overtopping device in laboratory scale. In this study it was employed the methodology Volume of Fluid (VOF) for the modeling of water-air flow. The conservation equations were solved with the Finite Volume Method (FVM), more precisely with software FLUENTTM. Several conditions for incident waves and ramps with three different ratios between height and length for the ramp, 1:1, 1:2 and 2:3 were investigated. Nam *et al.* (2008) evaluated numerically a device named Spiral-Reef Overtopping, which consists on devices with fixed circular-shaped structures. The simulations were performed with commercial software FLOW3D, based on the Finite Difference Method (FDM). In this work it was performed two and three-dimensional simulations with the aim to evaluate the best geometry for accumulation of water inside the device reservoir. Afterwards, Iahnke (2010) evaluated the ramp inclination of an overtopping device for a two-dimensional flow taken into account the wave conditions found in the southern coast of Brazil (Rio Grande city, placed in nearly geographical coordinates of 32°S and 52°W). The best performance was obtained for a ramp with an angle of 30°. In the above mentioned works, the geometrical variation was not performed by the imposition of constant area for the ramp, i.e., without employment of Constructal Design.

Other important study is performed by Beels *et al.* (2010) where the authors used a numerical model called mild-slope to solve the transient flow in a farm of Wave Dragon devices. For the evaluation of the devices arrangement it was tested different distances between the equipments: D , $2D$ and $3D$, being $D = 260$ m the distance between two points of Wave Dragon reflector. As a result, the authors concluded that a farm with five devices installed with a staggered arrangement is more efficient due to the best utilization of space and without significant interference of one converter in the others. Jin *et al.* (2012) performed a three-dimensional numerical study of a device similar to that studied in Nam *et al.* (2008). For the simulations of this work it was employed the software FLUENTTM. More precisely, it was performed a comparison between an overtopping device with and without fins over the ramp. The geometrical evaluation was neither performed into the Constructal Design framework.

Recently, Dos Santos *et al.* (2014) performed a numerical study to determine the influence of geometry on the performance of an offshore overtopping device in laboratory scale to three different depths for: $h/\lambda = 0.3$, 0.5 and 0.62 using the Constructal Design. In this study, the conservation equations of mass, momentum and one equation for the transport of volumetric fraction are solved with the finite volume method (FVM). For the solution of the water-air interface is used multiphase model Volume of Fluid (VOF). The results showed that the optimal ratio between height and length of the ramp, $(H_1/L_1)_o$, showed a strong dependence of the relative depth, indicating the absence of an universal geometry leading to the best performance of a device for overtopping different wave conditions.

The present work aims to perform a numerical study about the geometry of an onshore overtopping device ramp in real scale which leads to the highest fluid dynamic performance. The shape of overtopping ramp is varied by means of Constructal Design (Bejan, 2000; Bejan and Lorente, 2008). More precisely, it is evaluated the influence of the ratio between height and length of the ramp (H_1/L_1) over the amount of mass entering into the reservoir for three different fraction areas (ϕ).

2. MATEMATICAL MODELING

The physical problem analyzed is a two-dimensional overtopping device placed in a wave tank, as shown in Fig. 2. The third dimension Y is perpendicular to the plane of the figure. The wave motion is generated by imposing a velocity field on the left surface of the tank. The objective of the analysis is to determine the ideal geometry (H_1/L_1) leading to

higher amount of water entering in the reservoir. The degree of freedom H_1/L_1 is optimized for a flow with a depth $h = 10.0$ m for a wave with a wavelength of $\lambda = 65.4$ m (relative depth of $h/\lambda = 0.15$) wave height of $H = 1.0$ m and the period $T = 7.5$ s. It is also maintained fixed the following parameters: $H_T = 20.0$ m (height of the tank), $L_T = 327.0$ m (length of the tank) which leads to a ratio of ($H_T/L_T = 0.0612$). In addition, it will be considered a reservoir with a length of $L_R = 20.0$ m and a distance between the device and the tank bottom of $S = 6.0$ m. For this value of S it have been simulated several ratios of H_1/L_1 for three different fraction areas of the ramp ($\phi = A_r/A_T = 0.006, 0.012$ and 0.024). According to Constructal Design, the optimization problem is subjected to two constraints, i.e., the total area of the surge tank restriction

$$A_T = H_T L_T \quad (1)$$

and the area of the ramp

$$A_r = \frac{H_1 L_1}{2} \quad (2)$$




Figure 2. Computational domain of the overtopping device in real scale studied in the present work.

Equation (2) can be rewritten as a function of volume (area) fraction of the device

$$\phi = \frac{A_r}{A_T} \quad (3)$$

It is also being considered as a restriction of the problem $(S + H_1) > (h + H/2)$ so that the crest of the wave does not exceed the maximum height of the device.

Concerning the optimization process, it is divided into two steps as shown in Fig. 3. In the first step, the geometry is optimized by variation of the ratio H_1/L_1 and keeping fixed the remaining parameters, in this case the submersion S ($S = 6.0$ m) and ramp fraction area ($\phi = 0.006$). The maximum value found for the mass of water entering the reservoir is called the mass of water entering into the reservoir once maximized, m_m , and the corresponding ratio H_1/L_1 is referred to as the once optimized ratio of H_1/L_1 , $(H_1/L_1)_o$. In a second step, the same process is repeated for other values of ramp fraction area ($\phi = 0.012$ and 0.024). For the present study, an amount of 23 simulations was performed with the following distribution: $\phi = 0.006$ (8 cases), $\phi = 0.012$ (7 cases) and $\phi = 0.024$.

2.1. The Multiphase Volume of Fluid (VOF) Model

The conservation equation of mass for the mixture of air and water in a isothermal, laminar and incompressible flow is given by (Dos Santos et al., 2014):

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where ρ is the density of the mixture (kg/m^3) and $\vec{v}$ is the flow velocity vector (m/s).

The momentum equation for the mixture is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\vec{\tau}) + \rho \vec{g} + \vec{F} \quad (5)$$

where p is the pressure (N/m^2), $\rho \vec{v}$ and $\vec{F}$ are, respectively, the buoyancy and external body forces (N/m^3) and $\vec{\tau}$ is the rate of deformation tensor (N/m^2).




Figure 3. Illustration of the optimization process applied to overtopping device with different device fraction areas.

To tackle with the mixture of air and water and to evaluate their interaction with the device, is employed the method Volume of fluid (VOF). The VOF is used to model multi-phase fluid flows with two or more phases. In this model, the phases are immiscible, or the volume of one phase may not be occupied by another phase (LV et al., 2011).

In the simulations of this study, it is considered two different phases: air and water. The density of air and water used were given by: $\rho_{\text{air}} = 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and $\rho_{\text{water}} = 998.2 \text{ kg/m}^3$, while the dynamic viscosity were given by: $\mu_{\text{air}} = 1.7894 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg/(m}\cdot\text{s)}$ and $\mu_{\text{water}} = 1.003 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/(m}\cdot\text{s)}$. Therefore, the concept of volume fraction (α_q) is used to represent the two phases in a volume control. In this model, the sum of the volume fractions within a control volume must be unitary ($0 \leq \alpha_q \leq 1$). Consequently, if $\alpha_{\text{water}} = 0$, the volume control is empty of water and full of air ($\alpha_{\text{air}} = 1$). If the fluid is a mixture of air and water, one phase is the complement of the other, $\alpha_{\text{air}} = 1 - \alpha_{\text{water}}$. Thus, an additional transport equation for the volume fractions is required (LV et al., 2011; Dos Santos et al., 2014):

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \alpha_{\text{water}})}{\partial x_i} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \alpha_{\text{water}} \vec{v}) = 0 \quad (6)$$

It is noteworthy that the conservation equations of mass and momentum are solved for the mixture. Therefore, it is necessary to obtain the density and viscosity values for the mixture, which can be written by:

$$\rho = \alpha_{\text{water}} \rho_{\text{water}} + \alpha_{\text{air}} \rho_{\text{air}} \quad (7)$$

$$\mu = \alpha_{\text{water}} \mu_{\text{water}} + \alpha_{\text{air}} \mu_{\text{air}} \quad (8)$$

Regarding the wave generation, a velocity profile is imposed at the left side surface of Fig. 2 and simulates the behavior of a wavemaker (Horko, 2007). The velocity components in the wave propagation direction (x) and vertical (z) imposed in the left surface of the domain are based on Stokes of 2nd order theory and given respectively by (Chakrabarti, 2005):

$$u(x, z) = \frac{Hgk}{2\sigma} \frac{\cosh k(h+z)}{\cosh kh} \cos(kx - \sigma t) + \frac{3H^2\sigma k}{16} \frac{\cosh 2k(h+z)}{\sinh^4 kh} \cos 2(kx - \sigma t) \quad (10)$$

$$w(x, z) = \frac{Hgk}{2\sigma} \frac{\sinh k(h+z)}{\cosh kh} \sin(kx - \sigma t) + \frac{3H^2\sigma k}{16} \frac{\sinh 2k(h+z)}{\sinh^4 kh} \sin 2(kx - \sigma t) \quad (11)$$

where H is the wave height (m), k is the wave number given by $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ (m^{-1}), h is the water depth (m), T is the wave period (s), $\sigma = 2\pi/T$ is the frequency (rad/s) and t is the time (s).

For the other boundary conditions, the superior region of left side surface and the superior surface is considered at atmospheric pressure $P_{\text{abs}} = 101.3 \text{ kPa}$ (dashed lines in Fig. 2). In the lower and right surfaces, as well as, in the

overtopping device surfaces it is imposed a non-slip condition and impermeability ($u = w = 0$ m/s). Regarding the initial conditions, it is considered that the fluid is at rest and the water has a depth of $h = 10.0$ m.

3. NUMERICAL PROCEDURES

In the present work it is employed the Finite Volume Method (FVM) (Patankar, 1980; Versteeg *et al.*, 2007) for numerical solution of conservation equations of mass, momentum. More precisely it is used the CFD commercial package FLUENTTM (FLUENT, 2007). For the interaction between air, water and device it is employed the multiphase model Volume of Fluid (VOF) (Liu *et al.*, 2008; LV *et al.*, 2011).

The solver employed is based in the pressure and all simulations were performed with first order advection scheme Upwind and PRESTO (Pressure Staggering Option) for the spatial discretization of the advective term and pressure in the momentum equation, respectively. The pressure-velocity coupling was performed by method PISO (Versteeg and Malalasekera, 2007). The surface of wave flow is determined by the use of Geo-reconstruction method. In addition, sub-relaxation factors of 0.3 and 0.7 were imposed for the conservation equations of mass and momentum, respectively. The solutions obtained here were considered converged when the residual of the conservation equations of mass and momentum in the x and z directions were lower than 10^{-6} .

All simulations were performed using a computer with two dual-core Intel processors clocked at 2.67 GHz and 8 GB of RAM. It is used Message Passing Interface (MPI) for parallelization. The processing time for each simulation was approximately 1.44×10^5 s (40 h).

The discretization of the domain in rectangular finite volumes was performed. As can be seen in Fig. 4 the highest refinement is performed in device surfaces and free surface of wave where the highest velocity gradients are presented. In the study of Gomes *et al.* (2012) it is presented a theoretical recommendation about the number of finite elements employed along the domain which is adopted in the present study. In this sense, a grid with nearly 120,000 rectangular finite volumes are generated (Fig. 4).




Figure 4. Spatial discretization applied to the onshore real scale overtopping device.

After the definition of the spatial discretization used, it was performed a time step independence study for the transient problem and time-step influence over the height of the free surface wave, Fig. 5 (a), the independent solution was verified with analytical results of Chakrabarti (2005), Fig. 5 (b). In the first study, the height of the free surface wave was obtained for 4 different time steps: $\Delta t = 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ s, $\Delta t = 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ s, $\Delta t = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ s and $\Delta t = 4.0 \times 10^{-2}$ s. In all simulations the final time is $t_f = 100.0$ s. Figure 5 (a) shows a good agreement between the results for the various studied time steps, with the exception case with $\Delta t = 4.0 \times 10^{-2}$ s where the predicted wave has differences in time and space in comparison with the others. Consequently, it was adopted in subsequent simulations geometric evaluation with time step of $\Delta t = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ s. Concerning the verification process, after the wave stabilization, which occurs at about $t = 15.0$ s, the average deviation obtained between the numerical and analytical solution is 0.1 % and 8.0 % for the water depth and wave height, respectively, showing the good accuracy of the present numerical model.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The optimization process consists on the evaluation of total mass of water entering into reservoir for different ratios of H_1/L_1 . Figure 6(a) presents the effect of the ratio H_1/L_1 over the total amount of water entering into reservoir along a considered time interval ($0.0 \text{ s} \leq t \leq 100.0 \text{ s}$). Results showed that the geometry has a strong influence over the magnitude of mass accumulated in the reservoir. It is worthy to mention that the total amount of mass is taken into account by the integration of mass flow rate of water entering into reservoir along the time interval considered. Results of Figure 6(a) showed that the optimal shapes are achieved for the lowest magnitudes of the ratio H_1/L_1 for each value of ϕ , i.e., $(H_1/L_1)_o = 0.26$ for $\phi = 0.006$, $(H_1/L_1)_o = 0.14$ for $\phi = 0.012$ and $(H_1/L_1)_o = 0.065$ for $\phi = 0.024$. It can also be noticed that for each evaluated ϕ different range of geometry application is noticed, i.e., the range of ratio H_1/L_1 where overtopping occurs. For instance, for $\phi = 0.006$ the overtopping occurred in the range $0.26 \leq H_1/L_1 \leq 0.40$. As the magnitude of ϕ increases to $\phi = 0.012$, the range of H_1/L_1 decreases to $0.14 \leq H_1/L_1 \leq 0.26$ and for $\phi = 0.024$ the ranges

decreases even more for $0.065 \leq H_1/L_1 \leq 0.16$. Results presented in Fig. 6(a) also showed that the highest once maximized amount of mass entering into reservoir is obtained for the lowest device area fraction ($\phi = 0.006$). For this case, the magnitude of $m_m = 19,667$ kg is obtained, which is nearly 2.5 and 7.9 times higher than the best geometry obtained for $\phi = 0.012$ and 0.024 , respectively. In a general sense, results showed that the employment of Constructal Design can result in an improvement of fluid dynamic performance of this kind of renewable energy device.




Figure 5. Numerical evaluation of the employed methodology: a) study of independent time step, b) verification of the numerical solution with the analytical solution presented in Chakrabarti (2005).

Results obtained here showed that the highest amount of mass is achieved for the lowest fraction area of device (ϕ). However, lower dimensions for device limits the height dimension of the reservoir. As a consequence, for long periods of time the employment of small devices can become unviable since the reservoir does not have capacity enough to accumulate large amount of water. For the studied case, the best recommendation is the building of devices with the lowest possible area fraction and for the lowest ratios of H_1/L_1 . For the same construction area, a farm of devices can leads to a better performance than an isolated device. Results showed that the effect of H_1/L_1 over the amount of water entering into reservoir is different from that obtained previously in Dos Santos et al. (2014) for laboratory wave flows in offshore devices. In the latter study the best performance was obtained for intermediate ratios of H_1/L_1 and the worst performance was reached for the inferior and superior limits, as illustrated in Fig. 6(b). The effect of H_1/L_1 over the mass obtained here is in agreement with those shown in experimental studies of Kofoed (2002), which evaluated an onshore device in laboratory scale. Future studies are recommended to evaluate if the differences are related with the simulation of flows at different scales or due to the device position in the tank (onshore or offshore).




Figure 6. Effect of the ratio H_1/L_1 over the amount of mass entering into reservoir: a) present work for a real scale onshore device with different values of ϕ ; b) onshore device in laboratory scale (Dos Santos et al., 2014).

In order to evaluate the effect of ϕ over the local behavior of wave flow in the overtopping device, Fig. 7(a) illustrates the instantaneous mass flow rate of water in the time interval $(0.0 \text{ s} \leq t \leq 100.0 \text{ s})$ for the three optimal shapes achieved for each value of ϕ . Figure 7(b) depicts a restrict time interval to easily the visualization of overtopping peaks showed in Fig. 7(a). For $\phi = 0.006$ and $(H_1/L_1)_o = 0.26$ the highest magnitude of $\dot{m} = 836.77 \text{ kg/s}$ was noticed for $t \sim 85.0 \text{ s}$, while for $\phi = 0.012$ and $(H_1/L_1)_o = 0.14$ the highest magnitude of $\dot{m}$ is nearly 4.6 times lower than that found for the case with $\phi = 0.006$. For $\phi = 0.024$, the overtopping peaks are almost indistinguishable. Results also showed that for almost every instants of time, the highest magnitudes of mass flow rates are obtained for $\phi = 0.006$. It can also be seen that some time periods of overtopping are affected by different geometries.




Figure 7. Instantaneous mass flow rate of water as function of time for three different values of ϕ investigated: a) time interval of $0.0 \text{ s} \leq t \leq 100.0 \text{ s}$, b) time interval of $40.0 \text{ s} \leq t \leq 65.0 \text{ s}$.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The present work performed a numerical study to evaluate the geometry which conducts to the best fluid dynamic performance of the main operational principle of an onshore overtopping device in real scale. The analysis was realized by means of Constructal Design for a device with three different area fractions ($\phi = 0.006$, $\phi = 0.012$ and $\phi = 0.024$) and evaluating the effect of the ratio H_1/L_1 over the amount of water that enters into the reservoir of the overtopping device. For all studied cases the same wave conditions were employed ($H = 1.0 \text{ m}$, $\lambda = 65.4 \text{ m}$ e $T = 7.5 \text{ s}$). For this study, it was solved a transient flow of an air-water mixture in laminar regime. The numerical solution of conservation equations of mass and momentum was obtained by Finite Volume Method, more precisely with FLUENT software (FLUENT, 2007). Volume of Fluid (VOF) method was employed for the treatment of interaction between air and water phases, allowing the generation of regular waves in a wave tank and its interaction with the device.

Results showed that the highest amount of water entering into the reservoir along the time interval evaluated was obtained for the lowest ratios of H_1/L_1 for the three studied values of ϕ . Moreover, the highest ratio of H_1/L_1 led to the worst performance of the device. The comparison between the performance obtained with different fraction areas revealed that the highest amount of water were obtained for the lowest fraction area ($\phi = 0.006$). Then, it is recommended the building of ramps with small possible areas, since there is sufficient reservoir height for water accumulation inside the device. In a general sense, results showed the importance to evaluate the geometry of this kind of renewable device. Moreover, Constructal Design can be an important tool to guide the geometry evaluation of this kind of problem. Results also indicated that differences in behavior of the effect of H_1/L_1 over the mass of water that entered in the reservoir observed here and those obtained in Dos Santos et al. (2014) are not related with the use of different values for ϕ , since for different values of ϕ the effect of H_1/L_1 on the average water mass flow remains the same. The differences may be related with the study of different scales of the flow or the location of the same (onshore and offshore). Future studies are needed to investigate the differences seen in the effect of H_1/L_1 over the amount of water entering into the reservoir.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author J. C. Martins thanks CAPES by master science scholarship. The authors J. A. Souza, L. A. O. Rocha, L. A. Isoldi and E. D. dos Santos thank CNPq for research grant and financial support.

7. REFERENCES

- Beels, C., Troch, P., De Visch, K., Kofoed, J. P. and De Backer, G., 2010. "Application of the time-dependent mild-slope equations for the simulation of wake effects in the lee of a farm of Wave Dragon wave energy converters". *Renewable Energy*, Vol. 35, N° 8, p. 1644–1661.
- Bejan, A. 2000. *Shape and Structure, from Engineering to Nature*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bejan, A. and Lorente, S., 2008. *Design with Constructal Theory*. Hoboken, N.J: John Wiley & Sons.
- Chakrabarti, S. K., 2005. *Handbook of Offshore Engineering*. Amsterdam; London, Elsevier.
- Dos Santos, E. D., Machado, B. N., Zanella, M. M., Gomes, M. N., Souza, J. A., Isoldi, L. A., Rocha, L. A. O. 2014. "Numerical Study of the Effect of the Relative Depth on the Overtopping Wave Energy Converters According to Constructal Design". *Defect and Diffusion Forum*. Vol. 348, p. 232–244.
- Falcão, A. F. de O., 2010. "Wave energy utilization: A review of the technologies". *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 14, N° 3, p. 899–918.
- FLUENT, 2007, *User Guide – FLUENT 6.3.16*, Ansys Inc.
- Gomes, M. N., Santos, E. D. Dos, Isoldi, L. A., Rocha, L. A. O., 2012. "Análise de malhas para geração numérica de ondas em tanques". In *Proceedings VII Congresso Nacional de Engenharia Mecânica - CONEM 2012*. São Luiz, Brazil.
- Horko, M. 2007. *CFD Optimisation of an Oscillating Water Column Energy Converter*. Mechanical Engineering Master Dissertation. The University of Western, Ontario, Australia.
- Iahnke, S. L. P. 2010. *State of the Art and Development of a Numerical Simulation Model for the Overtopping Operational Principle (in portuguese)*. Computational Modeling Master Dissertation. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Rio Grande, Brazil.
- Jin, J., Liu, Z., Hong, K. and Hyun, B.-S., 2012. "3D Numerical Investigation on Reservoir System for an Overtopping Wave Energy Converter". *Journal of Navigation and Port Research International Edition*. Vol. 36, p. 97–103.
- Kofoed, J. P., 2002. *Wave Overtopping of Marine Structures – Utilization of Wave Energy*. Civil Engineering Doctorate Thesis. Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.
- Kofoed, J. P., Frigaard, P., Friis-Madsen, E. and Sørensen, H. C., 2006. "Prototype testing of the wave energy converter wave dragon". *Renewable Energy*. Vol. 31, N° 2, p. 181–189.
- Liu, Z.; Hyun, B.; Jin, J., 2008. "Numerical Prediction for Overtopping Performance of OWEC". *Journal Of The Korean Society For Marine Environmental Engineering*. Vol. 11, N° 1, p. 35–41.
- LV, X.; Zou, Q.; Reeve, D., 2011. "Numerical simulation of overflow at vertical weirs using a hybrid level set/VOF method". *Advances in Water Resources*, Vol. 34, N°. 10, p. 1320–1334.
- Margheritini, L.; Stratigaki, V.; Troch, P., 2012. "Geometry Optimization of an Overtopping Wave Energy Device Implemented into the New Breakwater of the Hanstholm Port Expansion". *The Twenty-second International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*, Rhodes, Greece.
- Margheritini, L.; Vicinanza, D.; Frigaard, P., 2009. "SSG wave energy converter: Design, reliability and hydraulic performance of an innovative overtopping device". *Renewable Energy*. Vol. 34, N° 5, p. 1371–1380.
- Nam, B. W., Shin, S. H., Hong, K. Y. and Hong, S. W. 2008. "Numerical Simulation of Wave Flow over the Spiral-Reef Overtopping Device". *Journal of Navigation and Port Research International Edition*. Vol.36, No.2 p. 97–103 2008. p. 6.
- Patankar, S. V. 1980. *Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow*. McGraw Hill, New York, USA.
- Rocha, L. A. O.; Lorente, S. and Bejan, A. 2013. *Constructal law and the unifying principle of design*. Springer, New York, USA.
- Versteeg, H. K., and Malalasekera, W. 2007. *An Introduction to Computational Fluid Dynamics: The Finite Volume Method*. Pearson, England.
- Zabihian, F., and Fung, A. S. 2011. "Review of marine renewable energies: Case study of Iran". *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 15, N° 5, p. 2461–2474.

8. RESPONSIBILITY NOTICE

The authors are the only responsible for the printed material included in this paper.